Sri U. S. NAYAK.—Was the oath administered by means of delegated power ?

*Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I have got the power to administer the oath.

Sri U. S. NAYAK.—Will the Hon'ble Chief Minister be pleased to say the article of the Constitution under which the oath was administered ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I will look into it and tell him.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Article 165 empowers oath of secrecy to be administered by the Government. Under what provision of the Constitution can the Chief Minister administer the oath of office or of secrecy to the Deputy Ministers ? I would like to know the provision of the Constitution under which this privilege was exercised ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I think there are orders to that effect. I will look into the matter and tell him.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The Chief Minister administered the *Oath of Secrecy*, but not the Oath of Office. May I know the difference between the two, Sir ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is obvious, Sir.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—There was a ruling given by the Chair in the same House, to the effect that the Chief Minister was entitled to administer the Oath of Secrecy. I want to know specifically the difference. What is meant by Oath of Secrecy and what is meant by the Oath of Office ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot be expected to give answers to these questions, Sir. It is quite obvious, because he holds the office of a Deputy Minister, it is the Oath of Secrecy. If he is administered the oath of Office, he would be able to participate in the proceedings of both Houses. In regard to this, I can only refer to the very elaborate ruling which the Chair was pleased to give on the 12th July last year.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—If the Oath of Secrecy is administered, they have responsibility to the extent of being secret in official matters. May we understand that they do not hold any office, ?Sir

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—They do hold the office of Deputy Ministers.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it not necessary that they should be administered the Oath of Office, Sir ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—On this point, I have given a ruling long back. If the Oath of Office is administered by the Governor, the Deputy Ministers will have the right to sit in both Houses. If it is not so, though they will be called as Deputy Ministers, they will be in the nature of Parliamentary Secretaries. They will sit in the House of which they are members. That is the ruling I have given.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question No. 1331. Member absent.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Sir, though the Hon'ble Member is not present, if the Chair is pleased to put the question, I would like to put a supplementary.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After all, in every case, I should not be made a scape goat. In very rare cases which are considered to be of very great importance I can do so. Of course, I can understand the Hon'ble Member Sri Shankar Rao considering it very important.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—We are all lawyers and we are interested in the profession and therefore, I wanted to put a supplementary.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I was also a practising lawyer. I am a lawyer even now though I do not practise. I do not see that it is of such importance as to merit the intervention of the Chair.

Supply of Medicines, etc., to Government Hospital at Kollegal.

Q.—1440. Smt. KEMPAMMA (Kollegal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the amount provided for the Government Hospital at Kollegal towards purchase of Medicine, etc., after the merger of Kollegal with the New Mysore State ;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that the former Madras Government used to

(SMT. KEMPAMMA)

incur a monthly expenditure of Rupees four thousand towards supply of medicine, etc., to the above Hospital;

(*c*) the amount now spent every month towards supply of medicine, etc., to the above Hospital;

(*d*) whether they are aware of the difficulties being experienced by the public for want of adequate medical facilities in that place?

A.—Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Health).—

(*a*) Rs. 12,000.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) About Rs. 1,670 per mensem.

(*d*) No specific instance is reported.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆಂಪಮ್ಮ.–ಮದ್ರಾನು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿತ್ತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—1955-56ಕ್ಕೆ 17,044 ರೂಪಾಯಿ, 1956-57ಕ್ಕೆ 20,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿ. ಇದನ್ನು ಔಷಧಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದರ ನಲುವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know for what period this sum of Rs. 12,000 is provided?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—1957-58ನೆಯ ಸಾಲಿನ ನಲುವಾಗಿ 12 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆಂಪಮ್ಮ.—12 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಯಿತೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ತಿಂಗಳಿಗೆ 1,670 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳೆಂದು ಹೇಳುವಾಗ ಇಷ್ಟು ಕಡಮೆ ಒದಗಿಸಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಆ ಅನ್ನತ್ರೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ರಾಜ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿಶೇಷ ಖರ್ಚಿನ ಬಾಬುಗಳು ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಆ ವರ್ಷ ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದುಂಟು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ ಉರ್ಫಾಳ್.—ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ಇತರ ಅನ್ನತ್ರೆಗಳಿಗೂ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆಂಪಮ್ಮ.—ಇನ್ನುಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಯೋಚನೆಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ನಡೆದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಕೆ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—'ಅರಾಟ್' ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮೆಡಿಕಲ್ ಆಫೀಸರನ್ನು ಕೇಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಅವರು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲೇಬೇಕು. ಹಣ ವೆಷ್ಟುಬೇಕು, ಔಷಧಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಕೆ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಹಾಗೆ ತರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಮೇಲೆ ಹಣ ಒದಗಿಸಿರುವುದು ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಗೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅಷ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಅನ್ನತ್ರೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಔಷಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ? ಇತರ ಸಾಮಾನು ಒದಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ ಮಾಗಡಿ.—ಮದ್ರಾನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ಅನ್ನತ್ರೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದಾಗ ರೋಗಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪ್ರತಿತಿಂಗಳೂ ಎಷ್ಟಿತ್ತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಇಲ್ಲ; ತಿಳಿದು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Promotion to the Post of Director of Medical Services.

Q.–1483. Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palaiyam) [On behalf of Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields)].—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they have promoted Sri V. R. Naidu as Director of Medical Services;

(*b*) whether they have taken seniority and ability into consideration while promoting;

(*c*) whether there are other senior doctors eligible for the said post;

(*d*) whether any representations have been received in regard to the same?

A.—Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Health).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*), (*c*) and (*d*) Does not arise.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Sir, is it not a fact that the Director of Medical Services now in office is a retired person?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—He is a retired person and he has been re-employed.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—What is the term of employment?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—One year 1957-58 till November.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—In answer to (*c*) you have said it does not arise. May I know, how it does not arise, Sir? Cannot an answer be given to this question?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—In view of the question and the answer furnished to part (*b*) of the question, which says: 'whether they have taken seniority also